Graham & James LLP



July 3, 1996

RECEIVED JUL 08 1996 FCC MAIL ROOM

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

A California Registered Limited Liability Partnership Including Professional Corporations

Attorneys

Suite 300 San Francisco, CA 94111-3492

One Maritime Plaza

Tel (415) 954 0200 Fax (415) 391 2493

Direct tel

(415) 954 0313

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

Errata to Comments of California Payphone Association

in CC Docket 96-128

Dear Sir or Madam:

Re:

Enclosed please find an original and 14 copies of the Errata to the Comments of California Payphone Association on Notice of Proposed Rulemaking filed on July 1 in the above-captioned proceeding. An extra copy of the errata is enclosed for returning a file-stamped copy to our office in the envelope provided.

Very truly yours,

Martin A. Mattes

GRAHAM & JAMES LLP

MAM:iw **Enclosures**

cc via Federal Express:

Common Carrier Bureau (w/ 2 copies and a corrected disk)

ITS, Inc. (w/enc.)

Our File: 16063.5

Internet mmattes@gj.com

Graham & James LLP

Los Angeles Newport Beach New York

Palo Alto Sacramento

San Francisco Seattle

Washington, DC

Beijing Tokyo

Düsseldorf

London

Deacons Graham & James

Bangkok Hanoi Ho Chi Minh City Hong Kong

Jakarta Taipei

Brisbane Canberra

Melbourne Perth

Sydney

Affiliated Offices

Berlin

Brussels

Bucharest

Frankfurt Hamburg

Leipzig

Munich

Prague

Mexico City

Jeddah

Kuwait

Riyadh

fcc.ltr

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

JUL 0 8 1996

FCC MAIL ROOM

In the Matter of	}
Implementation of the Pay Telephone Reclassification and Compensation Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996) CC Docket No. 96-128

ERRATA TO COMMENTS OF CALIFORNIA PAYPHONE ASSOCIATION ON NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING

In the Comments of California Payphone Association, filed July 1, 1996 in the above-captioned proceeding, the title page and page 1 included an incorrect title. The title should be corrected to read "Comments of California Payphone Association on Notice of Proposed Rulemaking" rather than "Comments of California Public Utilities Commission on Notice of Proposed Rulemaking." The attached pages should be inserted to replace the title page and page 1 of each of the previously enclosed 14 copies of the July 1 filing.

Counsel for California Payphone Association regrets this error.

Respectfully submitted,

GRAHAM & JAMES

Martin A. Mattes

One Maritime Plaza, Suite 300 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 954-0200

Facsimile: (415) 391-2493

Attorneys for CALIFORNIA PAYPHONE ASSOCIATION

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

JUL 0 8 1996 FCC MAIL ROOM

In the Matter of)	
Implementation of the Pay Telephone Reclassification)	CC Docket No. 96-128
and Compensation Provisions of the	Ś	
Telecommunications Act of 1996	ý	
)	

COMMENTS OF CALIFORNIA PAYPHONE ASSOCIATION ON NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING

GRAHAM & JAMES

Martin A. Mattes

One Maritime Plaza, Suite 300 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 954-0200 Facsimile: (415) 391-2493

Attorneys for CALIFORNIA PAYPHONE ASSOCIATION

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

JUL 0 8 1996

FCC MAIL ROOM

in the Matter of)
Implementation of the Pay Telephone Reclassification and Compensation Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996	CC Docket No. 96-128

COMMENTS OF CALIFORNIA PAYPHONE ASSOCIATION ON NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING

In accordance with the Commission's General Rules of Practice and Procedure, 47 CFR Section 1.1, et seq., and the specific procedures set forth in the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("NPRM"), released June 6, 1996, in the above-captioned proceeding, California Payphone Association ("CPA") respectfully submits its comments in response to issues presented by the NPRM.

I.

DESCRIPTION OF CALIFORNIA PAYPHONE ASSOCIATION AND ITS PARTICIPATION IN THIS PROCEEDING

CPA is a regular participant, as the principal representative of independent payphone providers ("IPPs"), in telecommunications regulatory proceedings before the California Public Utilities Commission ("CPUC"), performing before the CPUC a role comparable to that typically assumed by the American Public Communications Council ("APCC") in matters before the FCC. CPA is generally familiar with and fully supports the positions being advanced by APCC in the present